



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/731,705	12/08/2000	Tatsu Inoue	Q62170	9696
23373	7590 11/17/2005		EXAMINER	
	MION, PLLC YLVANIA AVENUE, N.V	W.		
SUITE 800		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20037			

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/731,705	INOUE, TATSU
Examiner	Art Unit
Christopher M. Lambrecht	2611

ITTE WA	LING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	locument filed on <u>01 September 2005</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the 7 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is
☐ 1. Ameno ☐ A. / ☐ B. /	MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: dments to the specification: Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. Other
	ct: Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. Other
☐ A. ·	Iments to the drawings: The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. Other
—	A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. Other: See Continuation Sheet.
	ation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website at gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf.
TIME PERIODS F	OR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:
filed after allow	ven no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment wance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the ted amendment must be resubmitted within the time period set forth in the final Office action.
corrected sec amendment is request for co	ven one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the ction of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, if the non-compliant one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a ntinued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action.
	s of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final tor an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action.
Abando filed in re	timely respond to this notice will result in: comment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment esponse to a Quayle action; or try of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental nent. HALTRAN PRIMARY EXAMINES
IC Data at a set Too do	/ V I HINGER IT EACHINGE.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-324 (11-04)

Part of Paper No. 20051114

Continuation of 4(e) Other: On page 12 of the reply, Applicant alleges that independent claims 1, 7, 13, 14, and 15 have been amended to carify the claimed "portion" in order to distinguish the present invention and the prior art of record. However, the amendments to claim 13 do not make any such distinction. Rather, they present additional features whose distinction over the prior art is not asserted or discussed in Applicant's remarks. 37 C.F.R. §1.111(b) states, "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references... A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section." Thus, the reply is not in compliance with this section.

HAITRAN
ROMARY EXAMINER